PERMIT SERVICES DIVISION

#### **Permit Evaluation and Emission Calculations**

	PAGES	PAGE		
	6	1		
	APPLICATION	DATE		
	2625	04/24/01		
Ī	Processin	G ENGINEER		
	DENNIS T. JANG			

Delta Energy Center; Plant #12095 Arcy Lane, Pittsburg CA 94565

#### **BACKGROUND**

The Delta Energy Center is applying for an Authority to Construct and Permit to Operate for the following equipment:

S-10 Fire Pump Diesel Engine, Detroit Diesel Model 8064-7412; 368 hp, 20 gallons per hour fuel use rate

Although the engine has "passed" a District risk screening and is therefore exempt from permit pursuant to Regulation 2-1-316.1, Calpine has opted to obtain an Authority to Construct and Permit to Operate in anticipation of the loss of exemption expected to take effect in July of 2001. The engine will be used exclusively for the emergency pumping of water for the purpose of fighting fires on site and is required by local fire codes.

#### CRITERIA-POLLUTANT EMISSION SUMMARY

### **Annual Average Project Emissions Increase:**

Pollutant	lb/day	ton/yr
POC	0.05	0.009
$NO_x$	2	0.37
$SO_2$	0.04	0.007
CO	0.48	0.088
$PM_{10}$	0.03	0.005
NPOC	0	0

### Daily Maximum Emissions by Source (lb/day):

Source	POC	$NO_x$	$SO_2$	CO	$PM_{10}$	NPOC
S-10 Diesel Engine	0.18	7.41	0.14	1.75	0.1	0

### PERMIT SERVICES DIVISION

### **Permit Evaluation and Emission Calculations**

	PAGES 6	PAGE 2		
	APPLICATION	DATE		
	2625	04/24/01		
Ì	PROCESSING ENGINEER			
	DENNIS T. LANG			

### **EMISSION CALCULATIONS**

## S-10 Fire Pump Diesel Engine

# **Emission Summary**

	$NO_x$	$SO_2$	CO	POC	$PM_{10}$
Emission Factors <sup>a</sup>	9.13	0.168	2.16	0.22	0.12 <sup>b</sup>
(g/bhp-hr)					
Emission Rates					
lb/hr <sup>c</sup>	7.41	0.136	1.75	0.18	0.10
lb/day <sup>d</sup>	7.41	0.136	1.75	0.18	0.10
lb/yr <sup>e</sup>	741	13.6	175	18	10
ton/yr	0.37	0.007	0.088	0.009	0.005

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>per manufacturer's specification sheet

# FACILITY CUMULATIVE INCREASE

(since April 5, 1991)

	Current		Incr	ease	New Total	
	lb/day	ton/yr	lb/day	ton/yr	lb/day	ton/yr
POC	358.79	65.480	0.05	0.009	358.84	65.489
$NO_x$	1,122.68	204.890	2	0.370	1,124.68	205.260
$SO_2$	82.19	15.000	0.04	0.007	82.23	15.007
CO	4,952.33	903.800	0.48	0.088	4,952.81	903.888
<b>NPOC</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0
$PM_{10}$	697.95	127.375	0.03	0.005	697.98	127.380

### TOXIC RISK SCREENING ANALYSIS

Compound	Project Annual Emission Rate (lb/yr)	Risk Screening Trigger Level (lb/yr)
Diesel Exhaust Particulate Matter	10	0.64

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>based upon original emission factor of 0.16 g/bhp-hr reduced by 25% since engine will burn exclusively low sulfur fuel (0.05% by weight)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>based upon maximum engine output rating of 368 hp

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>based upon maximum 1 hr operation per day for exercising

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>based upon maximum 100 hours per year for exercising

#### PERMIT SERVICES DIVISION

#### **Permit Evaluation and Emission Calculations**

	PAGES	PAGE			
	6	3			
1	APPLICATION	DATE			
-	2625	04/24/01			
Ī	PROCESSING ENGINEER				
1	DENNIS T. JANG				

Jane Lundquist of the District Toxics Section has reviewed the health risk assessment submitted by the applicant and determined that the maximum increased cancer risk occurs for the off-site industrial receptor and is 4.8 in one million. The gas turbines, HRSG duct burners, and cooling tower contribute less than 0.2 to the total risk of 4.8.

#### TBACT ANALYSIS

Pursuant to the District TRMP, the total facility cancer risk is acceptable, provided that all permitted sources satisfy TBACT.

S-1, S-3, & S-5 Gas Turbines S-2, S-4, & S-6 HRSGs

Pursuant to the District BACT/TBACT Workbook, BACT 1 is first considered as TBACT. BACT 1 would be the use of an oxidation catalyst. However, BACT 1 is not cost-effective given the small mass of toxic air contaminant emissions that are produced by the gas turbines and HRSGs. Therefore, BACT 2 is next considered as TBACT. BACT 2 is the exclusive use of natural gas, which inherently burns cleaner and more efficiently than liquid or solid fuels as a function of energy produced.

Because the gas turbines and HRSGs only contribute 0.2 in one million to the total facility risk of 4.8 in one million and cost of installing and maintaining an oxidation catalyst for each gas turbine/HRSG power train would be significant, TBACT is deemed to be BACT 2 as the exclusive use of natural gas.

# S-9 Cooling Tower

With a maximum guaranteed drift rate of 0.0005%, the cooling tower satisfies BACT 1 for  $PM_{10}$ . This meets or exceeds the drift rate specified for all recent power plant projects in the state. This drift rate is deemed to satisfy TBACT since all of TACs emitted by the cooling tower are emitted as  $PM_{10}$ .

#### S-10 Fire Pump Diesel Engine

Because the fire pump diesel engine may be utilized under emergency standby conditions such as fighting a fire resulting from an earthquake when natural gas supply may be interrupted, a gas-fired engine is not practical.

Based upon engine specifications from the manufacturer, the proposed diesel engine can achieve a  $PM_{10}$  emission rate of 0.12 g/bhp-hr (after 25% adjustment for ultra-low sulfur fuel use) which satisfies TBACT.

#### PERMIT SERVICES DIVISION

### **Permit Evaluation and Emission Calculations**

	PAGES 6	PAGE 4			
	APPLICATION	DATE			
	2625	04/24/01			
Ì	Processin	G ENGINEER			
	DENNIS T JANG				

#### **BACT ANALYSIS**

With highest daily POC, NO<sub>x</sub>, CO, SO<sub>2</sub>, and PM<sub>10</sub> emissions of less than 10 pounds for each pollutant, S-10 does not trigger the BACT requirement of NSR.

#### **OFFSET ANALYSIS**

Because the Delta Energy Center previously triggered the offset requirements of NSR for POC, NO<sub>x</sub>, and PM<sub>10</sub>, the POC, NO<sub>x</sub>, and PM<sub>10</sub> emission increases attributed to S-10 must also be offset. Calpine will provide the necessary offsets from Banking Certificate 728 as shown below.

# **Emission Offset Summary**

	POC	$NO_x$	$PM_{10}$	$SO_2$
S-10 Emission Increases (ton/yr)	0.009	0.37	0.005	0.007
Offsets Required	$0.010^{a}$	$0.426^{a}$	0.005	0
Offsets Provided	0.010	0.426	0	$0.015^{\circ}$
Banking Certificate 728	88.040	57.190	0	1.030
Balance	88.030	56.764	0	1.015

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>based upon offset ratio of 1.15:1.0

#### **FEE SUMMARY**

Source	Fee	Filing Fee	Initial Fee	Late Fee	Permit to	Source
	Schedule				Operate Fee	Sub-Total
S-10 Fire Pump Diesel Engine	В	\$228.00	\$320.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$548.00
					<b>Grand Total</b>	\$548.00
					<b>Amount Paid</b>	\$548.00
					Log Number	2965

#### STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE

**S-10 Fire Pump Diesel Engine** is expected to comply with Regulation 9, Rule 1, section 301 (Limitations on Ground Level Concentrations) and 304 (Fuel Burning) since it will utilize diesel fuel with a maximum sulfur content of 0.05% by weight. S-10 is expected to comply with Regulation 6, section 301 (Ringelmann No.1 Limitation), 305 (Visible Particles), 310 (Particulate Weight Limitation), and 311 (General Operations).

This project is considered to be **ministerial** under the District's CEQA Regulation 2-1-311 and therefore is not subject to CEQA review. The engineering review for this project requires only the application of standard permit conditions and standard emission factors as

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>based upon interpollutant trading ratio of 3:1 for SO<sub>2</sub> for PM<sub>10</sub> established in application 19414

#### PERMIT SERVICES DIVISION

#### **Permit Evaluation and Emission Calculations**

PAGES 6	PAGE 5
APPLICATION 2625	DATE 04/24/01
	G Engineer T. Jang

outlined in the District Permit Handbook (chapter 2.3, "Internal Combustion Engines") and therefore is not considered discretionary as defined by CEQA.

The Delta Energy Center facility is **not** located within 1000 feet of the outer boundary of the nearest school and is therefore not subject to the public notification requirements of Regulation 2-1-412.

A Toxics Risk Screening Analysis was performed as a result of the estimated diesel exhaust particulate matter emissions from the proposed engine. With the addition of the fire pump diesel engine, the total facility cancer risk for the Delta Energy Center was determined to be 4.8 in one million. Therefore, all permitted sources that emit TACs must meet the requirements of TBACT. As discussed above, the gas turbines, HRSGs, cooling tower, and fire pump diesel engine all satisfy TBACT.

BACT, Offsets, PSD, NSPS, and NESHAPS do not apply to the proposed S-10 Fire Pump Diesel Engine.

#### PERMIT CONDITIONS

#### **Conditions for S-10**

- 1) S-10 Fire Pump Diesel Engine is subject to the requirements of Regulation 9, Rule 1 ("Sulfur Dioxide"), and the requirements of Regulation 6 ("Particulate and Visible Emissions"). The engine may be subject to other District regulations, including Regulation 9, Rule 8 ("NOx and CO from Stationary Internal Combustion Engines") in the future. [Regulation 9, Rule 1; Regulation 6]
- 2) S-10 shall burn no more than 2,000 gallons of diesel fuel in any consecutive 12 month period for the purpose of reliability testing. [Regulation 2, Rule 1]
- 3) S-10 may burn an unlimited amount of diesel fuel for the purpose of providing power for the emergency pumping of water. [Regulation 2, Rule 1]
- 4) S-10 shall each be equipped with a non-resettable totalizing counter which records fuel use. [Recordkeeping]
- 5) The sulfur content of all diesel fuel combusted at S-10 shall not exceed 0.05% by weight. [TRMP, TBACT]
- 6) The following monthly records shall be maintained in a District-approved log for at least 2 years and shall be made available to the District upon request:
  - a) total fuel use for S-10 for the purpose of reliability testing
  - b) total fuel use for S-10 for the purpose of emergency pumping of water
  - c) fuel sulfur content [Recordkeeping]

PERMIT SERVICES DIVISION

## **Permit Evaluation and Emission Calculations**

	PAGES	PAGE	
	6	6	
	APPLICATION	DATE	
	2625	04/24/01	
Ī	PROCESSING ENGINEER DENNIS T. JANG		

# RECOMMENDATION

Issue a conditional Authority to Construct for the following source:

S-10 Fire Pump Diesel Engine, Detroit Diesel Model 8064-7412; 368 hp, 20 gallons per hour fuel use rate

EXEMPT S	OURCES	
None		
By:		
	Air Quality Engineer II	Date